

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully solicited.

Claims 46 through 61 are pending, with Claims 46 and 51 being independent. Claims 46, 51, 58, 59, 60, and 61 have been amended.

Claims 46 through 61 yet again were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over U.S. Patent Appln. Pub. No. 2003/0164976 A1 (Ihara, et al.) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,426,778 B1 (Valdez, Jr.) and Applicants' own specification. All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 46 and 51 recite, *inter alia*, that contents data is sent in broadcast, from which document data is extracted, the document data including both (a) the script and (b) a plurality of status display information, wherein, in accordance with the acquired status information, there is identifying of status display information indicating a status of the printer from the plurality of status display information.

However, Applicants respectfully submit that none of Ihara, et al., Valdez, Jr., and the relied-upon portions of Applicants' own specification, even in the proposed combinations, assuming, *arguendo*, that the documents could be combined, discloses or suggests at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 46 and 51.

In this regard, Applicants respectfully submit that even if Valdez, et al. teaches receiving markup language, there is *absolutely no suggestion* in Valdez, et al. that such markup language includes both the claimed script and the claimed plurality of status display information.

Applicants further respectfully submit that the relied-upon portions of Applicants' own specification are completely silent in this regard too. Furthermore, several of the relied-upon

portions of Applicants' own specification (e.g., [0059], [0068], and [0080]) are descriptions of Applicants' own embodiments and should not be relied upon by the Official Action.

Accordingly, even if the documents could be combined as proposed, Applicants respectfully submit the combination would be altogether devoid of any mention of the above-discussed claimed features including the claimed script and plurality of status display information (both having been received via broadcast as claimed).

It is further respectfully submitted that there has been no showing of any indication of motivation in the cited documents that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 46 and 51.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims discussed above. At page 4, the Official Action makes reference to "IEEE1394" and states that, as a result, the features of the dependent claims are known and that Applicants should identify the disclosure in the application. First, the reliance upon IEEE1394 is respectfully traversed. Applicants respectfully submit that even if IEEE1394 could be combined with the above-discussed combination of documents, the resulting combination would still fail to disclose or suggest the features of the dependent claims as recited in combination. Second, support for the dependent claims may be found, e.g., as follows: (a) Claims 47 and 52, e.g., Fig. 11; (b) Claims 48, 49, 53, 54, e.g., [0089], (c) Claim 50, 55, e.g., [0080], (d) Claim 56, e.g., Fig. 14, (e) Claim 57, e.g., [0076], (f) Claim 58, e.g., Fig. 14, item c, (g) Claim 59, e.g., Fig. 22, (h) Claim 60, e.g., [0085], and (i) Claim 61, e.g., Fig. 18, among other places. Of course, the claims are not limited to the disclosed embodiments. Applicants respectfully believe that the burden remains upon the Office

to establish where the specific words of the dependent claims are to be found in the prior art, and absent such a showing, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims should be allowed. MPEP 2144.03. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim is respectfully requested.

Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel S. Glueck/
Daniel S. Glueck
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 37,838

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200
DSG/mcm

FCIS_WS 1430201v1